	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/697,075	MALLARY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dan I. Davidson	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apportant or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>August 2, 2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3, 5-44, 46 renumbered as 1-44.		
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unalled all blooms.</li> <li>a) ☐ All blooms of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ul>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	C D Nation of Information	latant Angliantin
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	<ul><li>5. ☐ Notice of Informal P</li><li>6. ☐ Interview Summary</li></ul>	• •
	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🔲 Examiner's Amendn	nent/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. X Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
	9.  Other	

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Re claim 1; the prior art of record, and in particular Clinton et al (US 2003/0227701 A1) which is the closest prior art of record, fails to teach or suggest all of the claimed limitations in combination, specifically including the limitation of a non-magnetic spacer layer disposed between the longitudinal magnetic recording layer and the soft magnetic underlayer (see the last two paragraphs at page 10 of Applicant's most recently filed response).

Re claim 19; the prior art of record, and in particular Clinton et al (US 2003/0227701 A1) which is the closest prior art of record, fails to teach or suggest all of the claimed limitations in combination, specifically including the limitation of a non-magnetic spacer layer disposed over the underlayer.

Re claim 35; the prior art of record, and in particular Akimoto et al (US 6,541,104 B2) and Wood et al (US 5,041,922 A) which are the closest prior art of record, fails to teach or suggest all of the claimed limitations in combination, specifically including the limitation that the longitudinal recording layer has a coercivity of at least about 4000 Oe (see the first five lines of the first full paragraph at page 21 of Applicant's most recently filed response).

Re claim 46; the prior art of record, and in particular Clinton et al (US 2003/0227701 A1) which is the closest prior art of record, fails to teach or suggest all of the claimed limitations in combination, specifically including the limitation that the longitudinal magnetic recording layer has a coercivity of at least about 4000 Oe.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,075

Art Unit: 2627

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan I. Davidson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7552. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DID

Dan I Davidson September 22, 2006

ANDREA WELLINGTON
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3